

CITY OF BOSTON LAW DEPARTMENT

City Hall, Room 615 Boston, MA 02201



March 30, 2005

Civil Clerk's Office United States District Court For the District of Massachusetts One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210

> Linda Malloy, Administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr., Mother, Guardian and Next Friend Willie L. Murray III v. City of Bosotn

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced matter, please find the documents obtained from Suffolk Superior Court with respect to Removal of this action.

and Officer Shawn West, Civil Action No.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Amy E. Ambarik

Assistant Corporation Counsel

(617) 635-4099

cc: Edward F. McLaughlin, Esq.

Tel.: (617) 635-4034 Fax: (617) 635-3199



CIVIL ACTIC

LINDA MALLOY, Administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr., Mother, Guardian and Next Friend Willie L. Murray, III. Plaintiff,

٧.

CITY OF BOSTON and OFFICER SHAWN WEST,

<u>Defendants</u>.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

DISTRICT OF MASS.

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT
CIVIL CLERK'S OFFICE
2005 MAR 23 A 10: 15

05-10545 RGS

NOTICE OF REMOVAL (Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441)

The Defendant, City of Boston, petitions, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, for removal from the Superior Court Department of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts the action entitled Linda Malloy, Administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr., Mother, Guardian and Next Friend Willie L. Murray III v. City of Boston and Officer Shawn West, currently pending in Suffolk County as Civil Action No. 05-0534.

- This action alleges that Defendant, City of Boston violated the federal
 civil rights of Plaintiff's deceased son, Willie L. Murray failing to
 adequately train officers, therefore resulting in the use of excessive force;
- Plaintiff specifically alleges that Defendant, City of Boston violated 42
 U.S.C. § 1983. This action clearly "arises under the Constitution treaties or laws of the United States" and is therefore removable under 28 U.S.C. §1441;

N 3-20030912 gueĭi

Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary Civil Docket

03/23/2005 10:58 AM

SUCV2005-00534 Malloy Admx et al v Boston et al

Lead Case		Track	A
Origin	1	Case Type	E03 - Action against Commonwealth/municpl
Status Date	03/23/2005	Session	D - Civil D
File Date	02/10/2005	Status	Disposed: transfered to other court (dtrans)

Service	05/11/2005	Answer	07/10/2005	Rule12/19/20	07/10/2005
Rule 15	05/06/2006	Discovery	04/01/2007	Rule 56	05/31/2007
Final PTC	09/28/2007	Disposition	02/10/2008	Jury Trial	Yes

Plaintiff

Linda Malloy Admx Active 02/10/2005

Plaintiff

Willie: Murray Jr, Estate of

Active 02/10/2005

Defendant

City of Boston Served: 02/23/2005

Served (answr pending) 03/14/2005

Defendant

Officer Shawb West Served: 02/24/2005

Served (answr pending) 03/14/2005

Private Counsel 336980

Edward F McLaughlin Norton & McLaughlin 6 Beacon Street Suite 910 Boston, MA 02108 Phone: 617-523-7001 Fax: 617-854-7593 Active 03/08/2005 Notify

Private Counsel 637348

Amy E Ambarik Boston (City of) Law Dept 1 City Hall Plaza

Room 615 Boston, MA 02201 Phone: 617-635-4048 Fax: 617-635-6199 Active 03/23/2005 Notify

Date	Paper	Text
02/10/2005	1.0	Complaint
02/10/2005		Origin 1, Type E03 Track A

N >-20030912

guen

Filed 03/31/2005

Page 4 of 14

Case 1:05-cv-10545-RGS Document 5 Filed U3/31/ Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT **Case Summary**

Civil Docket

03/23/2005 10:58 AM

SUCV2005-00534 Malloy Admx et al v Boston et al

Date	Paper	Text
02/10/2005	2.0	Civil action cover sheet filed
03/14/2005	3.0	SERVICE RETURNED: Officer Shawb West(Defendant) (last & usual)
03/14/2005	4.0	SERVICE RETURNED: City of Boston(Defendant) (in hand)
03/23/2005		Certified copy of petition for removal to U. S. Dist. Court of Deft.
		City of Boston U. S. Dist.#(05-10545RGS).
03/23/2005		Case REMOVED this date to US District Court of Massachusetts

HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MARCH 23, 2005 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHAEL JOSEPH DUNNALL CLERK / MAGISTRATE
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRACOURT

ASSISTANT CLÉRI

COMMON SUFFOLK, SS.	NWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUPERIOR COURT DEPT. OF THE TRIAL COURT DOCKET # SUCV2005-0534
Linda Malloy, Administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr., Mother, Guardian and Next Friend Willie L. Murray, III,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
City of Boston and Officer Shawn West, a Municipal Employee of the City of Boston and a Member of of the Boston Police Department.	
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

I. INTRODUCTION

This action is brought by the plaintiff, Linda Malloy, the administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray and the mother and guardian of Willie L. Murray, III, the son of Willie L. Murray, Jr., who was shot and killed on February 12, 2002 by Officer Shawn West of the Boston Police Department. The plaintiff states a claim for wrongful death pursuant to the Massachusetts Tort Claims Act, G.L. c. 258 and G.L. c. 229 §2, against the City of Boston, as well as, as a Civil Rights Claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 against Officer West and the City of Boston.

II. PARTIES

The plaintiff, Linda Malloy, is the duly appointed administratrix of the Estate of
Willie L. Murray, (hereinafter "Murray") as well as, the mother and guardian of
Murray's son, Willie L. Murray, III who is a minor. The plaintiff resides at 613

- Columbus Avenue, Boston, MA
- 2. The defendant, City of Boston, is a municipal corporation and an employer as that term is defined in G.L. c. 258 §1 with an address of City Hall, One City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201.
- The defendant, Officer Shawn West, is an employee of the City of Boston Police
 Department and is assigned to Area B-2, 135 Dudley Street, Boston, MA 02119.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 4. At approximately 3:30 a.m. on February 12, 2002 Murray was operating a Chrysler automobile, Massachusetts registration #887HLC, on Crawford Street in the Roxbury section of Boston with the headlights off.
- Crawford Street is a one-way street and Murray was traveling in the wrong direction as he approached the intersection with Elm Hill Avenue.
- 6. Just before the intersection of Crawford Street and Elm Hill Avenue the path of Murray's vehicle was blocked by a marked Boston Police Cruiser, B4-31A being operated by Officer Shawn West, an "on-duty" officer of Area B-2 of the Boston Police Department.
- 7. Murray brought his vehicle to a complete stop and Officer West got out of his cruiser, drew his service revolver and pointed it at the Murray vehicle.
- 8. Officer West did not activate the "blue lights" of his cruiser or radio to dispatch for assistance or otherwise advise that he had stopped the Murray vehicle.
- Officer West then approached the Murray vehicle while pointing his weapon directly at Murray.

- 10. When Officer West arrived at the drivers side of the Murray vehicle he observed two (2) males in the vehicle, Murray in the drivers seat and Cornell Philip, in the front passenger seat.
- 11. Officer West screamed at Murray "Shut the f...king car off right now."
- 12. Murray rolled down the driver's window slightly, however, because the driver's side window in the vehicle had been broken for several months he was only able lower it by about 2 inches.
- 13. Whereupon, Officer West stuck his weapon into the open space and pointed it directly at Murray's head.
- 14. During this time Murray's hands were in clear view as were the hands of the other occupant of the vehicle.
- 15. Murray then yelled to Officer West "what you going to shoot me!"
- 16. At this point, the vehicle rolled forward slightly and Officer West discharged his service weapon killing Murray.
- 17. At the time of the incident, Murray and his passenger were unarmed and the only probable cause of a crime being committed possessed by Officer West was that Murray was operating his vehicle with the lights off and going the wrong way on a one way street both minor traffic violations.
- 18. The plaintiff asserts that Officer West used unreasonable force in attempting to detain Murray and that his actions were, at a minimum, negligent, and in violation of the Rules and Procedures of the Boston Police Department governing the use of deadly force by members of the Department.

- 19. Specifically, Officer West's initial pointing of his service revolver at Mr. Murray and later discharging that firearm were both in direct violation of the aforesaid Rules and Procedures.
- 20. Further, Officer West failed to follow appropriate Rules and Procedures regarding the calling in of his stop of the vehicle and/or requesting additional assistance at the scene.
- 21. Ultimately, Department Rules and Procedures prohibit the use of deadly force to effect an arrest on mere suspicion that a crime has been committed.
- 22. At the time Murray was shot and killed on February 12, 2002 he was the fifth (5) person in fifteen (15) months to be fatally wounded by a Boston Police Officer.
- 23. In sum, it is clear that, at the time of the incident, the City of Boston and the Boston Police Department were responsible for Officer's West negligent actions having failed to adequately train, test, supervise and discipline this Officer and other officers and employees.
- 24. The plaintiff avers, that the City of Boston, at the time of the above incident and in the months preceding the incident demonstrated a deliberate indifference in the training, recruitment, supervision and discipline of its Police Officers particularly in the area of the "use of deadly force."
- 25. Finally, the plaintiff submits that the City of Boston knew or should have known of officers' misconduct, and that they failed to take reasonable measures to rectify the situation.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count I. Mass. Tort Claims Act - Wrongful Death - Defendant, City of Boston,

- 26. The plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 above as if fully stated herein
- 27. At all relevant times herein, the defendant, City of Boston, was a public employer as defined in G.L. c. 258 §1.
- 28. The plaintiff is entitled to bring this action pursuant to the wrongful death Statute,
 G.L. c. 229 §2 and the Massachusetts Tort Claims Act, G.L. c. 258 §2.
- 29. Officer West was an employee of the defendant, City of Boston, for whom the employer is liable for all negligent or wrongful acts of the employee which were within the scope and duties of the employee as more fully set out in G.L. c. 258 §2.
- 30. In compliance with G.L. c. 258 §4 on or about February 5, 2004 a notice or "presentment of claim" was sent by certified mail to the Honorable Thomas M. Menino, Mayor of the City of Boston, which was received by the Mayor's Office on February 6, 2004. In addition, the plaintiff paid the required \$5.00 notice fee to the Clerk of the City of Boston, as required.
- 31. On the same day, an identical notice or presentment of claim was also sent by certified mail to Acting Police Commissioner, James M. Hussey, which was received by his office on February 7, 2004.
- 32. Accordingly, the plaintiff submits that she has complied with the condition precedent to the institution of the within action since both notices were received within two (2) years of the death of William L. Murray, Jr.

- 33. Further, more than six (6) months have elapsed since the receipt of the within notices and the City of Boston has taken no action or otherwise responded to the aforementioned notices.
- 34. Therefore, the plaintiff avers that the actions of Officer West in shooting and killing Murray were negligent and or wrongful acts and entitle Murray's Estate to the recovery of damages for wrongful death.

Count II. Civil Rights Violation - Defendant, Officer Shawn West

- 35. The plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above as if fully stated herein.
- 36. As alleged above, on February 12, 2002, the defendant, Officer Shawn West, was acting under color of law as an Officer of the City of Boston Police Department, with full authority of said office.
- 37. On the same date the defendant, Officer Shawn West, violated the Constitutional rights of the decedent, Murray, by depriving him of his rights, privileges, or immunities secured and protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including but not limited to his "due process" rights under the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States through the use of excessive force which was unreasonable under the circumstances.
- 38. In do so, without proper justification or qualified immunity, Officer West abrogated the civil rights of the decedent, Murray, in violation 42 U.S.C. 1983, The Federal Civil Rights Act.
- 39. In sum the actions of Officer West constitute a violation of the civil rights of Murray

- 2. Award her damages that will fully and fairly compensate the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr. for the civil rights violations alleged in Counts II and III, above including but not limited to punitive damages and reasonable attorney's fees.
- 3. For whatever other relief that this Court deems right and just.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands that each and every issue of fact contained herein be tried to a jury where such right is applicable.

Respectfully submitted,

LINDA MALLOY, Administratrix of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr. By her attorney,

Edward F. McLaughlin 6 Beacon Street, Suite 910

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 523-7001 BBO #336980

Dated: February 10, 2005

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MARCH 23, 2005, THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,

TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

> MICHARL JOSEPH DONOVÁN CLERK/ MAGISTRATE

BLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, ss.



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

No.__SUCV2005-0534D

Linda Malloy, Administratrix , Plaintiff(s) of the Estate of Willie L. Murray, Jr.

City of Boston & Officer Shawn West, Defendant(s) Boston Police Department

SUMMONS

To the above-named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Edward F. McLaughlin,

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 6 Beacon Street, S-910 Boston, MA 02108 wer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Barbara J. Rouse Witness, February 11th o, Esquire, at Boston, the_ , in the year of our Lord two thousand

> Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk/Magistrate

NOTES

1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure

- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3. TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED (1) FORT (2) MOTOR VEHICLE FORT (3) CONTRACT (4) EQUITABLE RELIEF

FORM CIV.P. 1 3rd Rev

. HEREBY ATTOST AND CERTIFY ON

MARCH 23, 2005 THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

> MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK LMAGISTRATE SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT

ASSISTANT CLERK

if you claim to have a herein and also the the within 20 days as specified herein but complaint. the answer 2 written answer court .⊑ personally your serve a copy of appear jo ir aftorney must NOTICE TO DEFENDANT defense, either you or your appropriate original in the Clerk's Office.

Case 1000LoACTION45-RGS	KET_NO.(S)	Filed 00	Ariel Court of Handral	hanfadada a
COVER SHEET	05-053		3 Trial Court of Massagl Superior Court Depar	rtment
PLAINTIFF(S)	V 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		County:	
M V		DEFENDANT(S)		
Linda Malloy		Cityof	Boston + Officer 5	haim Cost
ATTORNEY FIRM NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE	E - 1941	ATTORNEY OH know	y and the same	+ - 30,110, C) (
6 Beacon St 5-910 Bos	to 1111 12/08			
Board of Bar Overseers number: 33656	1071 7-174 00-4 1			
a gotten en en	Origin code and	track designation	on .	
Place an x in one box only:) listrict Court Appeal c.231, s. 9	7 & 104 (After
1. F01 Original Complaint 2. F02 Removal to Sup Ct. C 231 s	101	trial)	(X)	
2. F02 Removal to Sup.Ct. C.231,s. (Before trial) (F)	.104	□ 5. F05 R	eactivated after rescript; relief f	from
3. F03 Retransfer to Sup.Ct. C.231,	s.102C (X)	Juagm 6. F10.S	ent/Order (Mass R Civ.P 60) (X ummary Process Appeal (X)	()
				
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION	ACTION AND TRACK ((specify) TRACK	s) MOLLANDICAL A SHERS!	JURY CASE?	
- 11		10 11110 A	OTT CAGE:	
203 Wrongful Death again	Material (1)	(★) Yes	() No	
The following is a full, itemized an	id detailéd statemer	it of the facts	on which plaintiff relies to	determine
money damages. For this form, di			claims; indicate single dar	mages only.
	TORT C (Attach additional sh		24)	
 Documented medical expenses to da 	ate:			
 Total hospital expenses 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. Iolai Doctor expenses		. 	S	
The state of the s			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: T. T
 Total physical therapy expenses Total other expenses (describe) 	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			Subtotal S	
Documented lost wages and compen	sation to date		- 6	1
 Documented property damages to da 	ate		٠, ١	7.1
D. Reasonably anticipated future medical Reasonably anticipated lost wages .	a and nospital expense	S	······································	
Other documented items of damages	(describe)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•		'\$	
6. Brief description of plaintiff's injury, in Wronsful Douth + (cluding nature and exte	nt of injury (desc	ribe)	
Wrongful Douth +(い おかり しょこ	olalion		
01	a		in excess of \$/6	10,000
			TOTAL S	
	00000			
	CONTRACT (Attach additional she		۸	
rovide a detailed description of claim(s):	(macri additional site	eis as necessary	'	•
			TOTAL	
			TOTAL \$	
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER,	NAME AND COUNTY,	ANY RELATED A	ACTION PENDING IN THE SU	PERIOR
COURT DEPARTMENT				
"I hereby certify that I have complied w	ith the requirements of	f Rule 5 of the 5	Supreme Judicial Court Unito	em Pules en
sishare riesoration (SSC Mile 1:18) tedi	uifina that i navido m	u cliante with in	formation about accuse .	cted dispute
resolution services and discuss with the	em the advantages an	d disadvantage	s of the various methods."	,
Signature of Attorney of Record	and thinks _			Julus 1
			DATE: O	410102
TC-6 mic005-11/99				

AOTC-6 mtc005-A.O.S.C. 1-2000

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

MARCH 23, 2005 THAT THE

POREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

MICHABL JOSEPH DONOVAN
CLERK / MAGISTRATE
SUPPOLX SUPERIOR CIVIL DOURT
BEPARTMENT OF THE TRACCOURT

ASSISTANT CLERK

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, ss



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

of the Estate of the Mie L. Marry, J.

City of Boston & Officer Shawlus Defendant(s)
Boston Police Dept.

To the above-named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Edward F. Melachlir

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 6 Becconst the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Barbara J. Rouse o, Esquire, at Boston, the____ ____, in the year of our Lord two thousand _______3665

Michael Joseph Donovan

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant
- 3- TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED

(1) FOR E (2) MOTOR VEHICLE TORT (3) CONTRACT (4) FOULIABLE RELIEF (5) OTHER

FORM CIV.P. 1-3rd Rev.

. HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE

ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY.

> MICHAEL JOSEPH DONOVAN CLERK / MAGISTRATE POLK SUPERIOR CIVIL POURT

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT ... You need not as defense, either you or your atterney must serve a sorginal in the Clerk's Office.

if you claim to nay berein and as a feat

but if you

complaint,

9

ally in a